SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Notification No. A2. C. 373—37-38, *dated* 20*th October* 1937.

Whereas it is brought to the notice of the undersigned that Rinderpest among cattle is prevailing in the undermentioned village and whereas it is likely that the epidemic may spread into other parts of the district, if the cattle of this village are allowed to go out of the village, it is hereby notified in exercise of the powers conferred on the undersigned, under Rule 12 of the Rules published with Government Order No. 5330—C. V. 47-28-14, dated 22nd November 1929, under Regulation No. VI of 1899 as amended by Regulation II of 1927, that the movements of the cattle of the abovesaid village be restricted to this village and that cattle of other villages should not pass through this village for a period of one month from the date of this notification.

Persons disobeying the above orders will render themselves liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding Rs. 50 and to a further fine of Rs. 5 for every day such breach continues.

Village	Hobli	Taluk
Turubinkatte	Oodugani ...	Shikarpur

T. RAMAIYA,
Deputy Commissioner.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Notification No. R. O. C. 471 *of* 36-37, *dated the* 22*nd October* 1937.

Subject :—Amendment of Sub-Rule (1) (a), (b) and (c) of Rule 7 of Order XLVII of the First Schedule to the Code of Civil Procedure, 1911.

The following amendment which the High Court proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 122 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1911, to the said sub-rule, is published, as required by the said section, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said amendment will be taken up for consideration by the High Court on or after the 29th November 1937. Any objection or suggestion, which may be received from any person with respect to the proposed amendment before the said date will be considered by the High Court.

Proposed Amendment.

Substitute the following for Sub-Rule 1 (a), (b) and (c) of Rule 7 of Order XLVII of the First Schedule to the Code of Civil Procedure, 1911.

(1) An order of the Court rejecting the application shall not be appealable; but an order granting an application may be objected to on the ground that the order was (a) in contravention of the provisions of Rule 4, or (b) on an application made in contravention of the provisions of Rule 2 or presented after the expiration of the period of limitation prescribed therefor and without sufficient cause.

CIVIL SIDE.

Amendment dated 6th October 1937 to the Process Rules made under the High Court Notification, dated 28th March 1911.

Under the provisions of Section 130 of the Code of Civil Procedure and with the previous sanction of the Government the High Court directs that the following sub-paragraps be added to paragraph 477 in Part II of Process Rules, Chapter XVIII, Vol. I, Civil Ruleh of Practice and Circular Orders, at page 135 :—

As soon as a witness summons is returned to Court after personal service and payment of batta, the Head Munshi shall enter the amount of batta paid in Column 12 of Register No. XX and initial the entry.

CIVIL SIDE.

Amendment dated 6th October 1937 to Civil Rules of Practice No. 158, dated 18th November 1920, prescribing Account Rules.

Under Section 130 of the Code of Civil Procedure and with the previous sanction of the Government the High Court directs that the following paragraph be inserted as paragraph 609 A in Chapter XXI, Account Rules, at page 185 of Civil Rules of Practice and Circular Orders, Vol. I:—

609 A. Receipt Orders for amounts received 'muddam' shall be signed by the presiding Judge, and he shall send an acknowledgment of every such amount to the remitter after satisfying himself that it has been received in the Treasury.

T. SHAMIENGAR,
Registrar.